# **Classification**

# **Young-Rae Cho, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor Division of Software / Division of Digital Healthcare Yonsei University – Mirae Campus

# **Supervised vs. Unsupervised Learning**

#### **Supervised Learning**

- Training data (observations, measurement, etc.) are given
- Training data include class labels predefined
- Find rules or models of class labels of training data
- New data are classified based on the rules or models
- **Example: classification, regression**



#### **Unsupervised Learning**

- No training data are given
- New data are classified without any training data
- **Example: clustering, pattern mining**





# **Classification vs. Regression**



#### **Classification**

- Training class labels in attributes of a training data set
- Predicts class labels of a new data set based on the rules or models of class labels of the training data set



#### **Regression**

- Modeling continuous-valued functions for a data set
- **Predicts unknown or missing values in the data set**

# **Classification Step 1: Training**





# **Classification Step 2: Prediction**





# **Issues in Classification**

![](_page_5_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Accuracy**

**Training accuracy and prediction accuracy** 

#### **Efficiency**

**Training time and prediction time** 

#### **Robustness**

**Handling noise and missing values** 

#### **Scalability**

**Efficient memory usage in disk-resident databases** 

#### **Interpretability**

**Understanding of classifying models** 

# **Overview**

![](_page_6_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. **Decision Tree Induction**
- **2. Bayesian Classification**
- **3. k-Nearest Neighbor Learning**
- **4. Rule-Based Classification**
- **5. Pattern-Based Classification**
- **6. Classification Accuracy Measures**

# **Decision Tree Induction**

#### **Decision Tree Structure**

- **Each non-leaf node represents ??** 
	- Attributes should be categorical (if continuous, discretize the values)
		- $\rightarrow$  Each attribute should have a finite number of values
- **Each leaf node represents ??**
- Each edge represents ??

#### **Decision Tree Construction**

- A decision tree is constructed in a top-down recursive manner
- An attribute is selected by an information-theoretic measure
- The training data are recursively partitioned on the selected attribute at each round

#### **Classification Process**

The new data are classified by tracing the decision tree from the root

# **Process** 1) Put all data at the root node 2) Recursively, select an attribute and partition the data-set into subsets as child nodes, until having a stopping condition **Decision Tree Construction** How to select an attribute at each step ?

#### **Stopping Conditions**

- If all data samples for a given node in the tree belong to the same class
- **IF there are no remaining attributes for further partitioning** (majority voting is employed for classifying data in the leaf node)
- There are no data samples left

![](_page_9_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Training Data Set**

![](_page_9_Picture_175.jpeg)

# **Example of Decision Tree**

![](_page_10_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Output Decision Tree for "buys\_computer"**

![](_page_10_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **ID3 Algorithm**

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

Attribute selection measure during decision tree construction

 $\rightarrow$  Select the attribute with the highest information gain

- Let  $p_i$  be the probability that an arbitrary record in D belongs to class  $C_i$
- Expected information (entropy):

$$
Info(D) = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} p_i log_2(p_i)
$$

**Information after using an attribute A to split D into v partitions** 

$$
Info_{A}(D) = \sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \left( \frac{|D_{j}|}{|D|} \times Info(D_{j}) \right)
$$

**Information gain** by branching on attribute A

$$
Gain(A) = Info(D) - Info_A(D)
$$

# **Example of Information Gain**

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Information**

- 9 "yes"es and 5 "no"s, in buy\_computer
- $\blacksquare$  Info(D) =

#### **Information after Splitting by "age"**

 $\blacksquare$  Info<sub>age</sub>(D) =

### **Information Gain by "age"**

Gain(age) =  $Info(D) - Info_{age}(D) =$ 

#### **Information Gain by other attributes**

- Gain(income) =
- Gain(student) =
- Gain(credit rating) =

![](_page_12_Picture_148.jpeg)

# **C4.5 Algorithm**

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

- An extension of the ID3 algorithm
- **Information gain measure in ID3 is biased towards attributes with a large number of values**
- Uses gain ratio to overcome the problem (normalizing information gain)
	- $\rightarrow$  Select the attribute with the highest gain ratio
- Split information for normalization of information gain

$$
SplitInfo_{A}(D) = -\sum_{j=1}^{v} \frac{|D_{j}|}{|D|} \times log_{2} \left( \frac{|D_{j}|}{|D|} \right)
$$

**Gain Ratio**(A) = Gain(A) / SplitInfoA(D)

# **Example of Gain Ratio**

#### **Split Information by "age"**

 $\blacksquare$  SplitInfo<sub>age</sub>(D) =

#### **Gain Ratio by "age"**

GainRatio(age) =

#### **Gain Ratio by other attributes**

- GainRatio(income) =
- GainRatio(student) =
- GainRatio(credit\_rating) =

![](_page_14_Picture_124.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **CART (Classification and Regression Trees)**

![](_page_15_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

- Attribute selection during decision tree construction
	- → Select the attribute with the greatest difference of Gini index
- Gini index: a measure of inequality

$$
Gini(D)=1-\sum_{j=1}^m p_j^2
$$

If a data set D is split on the attribute A into two subsets D1 and D2,

$$
Gini_A(D) = \frac{|D_1|}{|D|} Gini(D_1) + \frac{|D_2|}{|D|} Gini(D_2)
$$

**∆Gini(A)** by the binary split on A

$$
\Delta Gini(A) = Gini(D) - Gini_A(D)
$$

# **Example of Gini Index**

![](_page_16_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Gini Index in "buy\_computer"**

- 9 "yes"es and 5 "no"s, in buy\_computer
- $\bullet$  Gini(D) =
- **Gini Index after Splitting by "age"**
	- Gini<sub>age</sub>(D) =

#### **∆Gini by "age"**

∆Gini(age) =

#### **Gini Index after Splitting by other attributes**

- ∆Gini(income) =
- ∆Gini(student) =
- △Gini(credit\_rating) =

![](_page_16_Picture_142.jpeg)

# **Problems of Attribute Selection**

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Information Gain**

Biased towards the attributes with a large number of values

#### **Gain Ratio**

Biased towards the unbalanced splits in which one partition is much larger than the others

#### **Gini Index**

Biased to multi-valued attributes

# **Summary of Decision Tree Induction**

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Strength**

- Simple and easy to understand classification rules
- Able to use SQL queries to access databases

#### **Weakness**

- Not able to handle continuous attributes
	- $\rightarrow$  Partition the continuous attribute values into a discrete set of intervals
- **-** Overfitting
- Limitation of scalability restriction of the training data size
	- $\rightarrow$  Scalable algorithms: SLIQ, SPRINT, RainForest

# **Overfitting**

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Underfitting vs. Overfitting**

- Underfitting: the classifier performs poorly on the training data
- Overfitting: the classifier performs well on the training data,

but performs poorly on classifying new data

#### **Example of Overfitting**

Too many branches of decision tree by reflecting anomalies due to noise or outliers

#### **Solving Overfitting**

- **Prepruning: Halt tree construction early** 
	- Stop splitting a node if the result is falling below a threshold
	- Difficult to choose an appropriate threshold
- Postpruning: Remove branches from a "fully grown" tree
	- Get a sequence of progressively pruned trees
	- **Inefficient**

# **RainForest**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

- Create AVC-set / AVC-group, which fit in memory, by scanning database
- **AVC (Attribute-Value, Class-label)**
	- AVC-set of an attribute X : the projection of the training dataset on X and class labels where counts of individual class labels are aggregated
	- AVC-group of a node n : the set of AVC-sets of all predictor attributes at n

![](_page_20_Picture_88.jpeg)

#### **Reference**

 Gehrke, J., et al., "RainForest – a framework for fast decision tree construction of large datasets" In Proceeding of VLDB (1998)

# **Overview**

![](_page_21_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. **Decision Tree Induction**
- **2. Bayesian Classification**
- **3. k-Nearest Neighbor Learning**
- **4. Rule-Based Classification**
- **5. Pattern-Based Classification**
- **6. Classification Accuracy Measures**

# **Bayesian Classification**

![](_page_22_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

- A statistical classifier: performs probabilistic prediction
	- $\rightarrow$  Outputs the probability of class membership
- Utilizes the Bayesian Theorem

$$
P(H|X) = \frac{P(X|H)P(H)}{P(X)}
$$

- $\bullet$  H : a hypothesis
- X : an evidence
- $P(H|X)$ : posterior probability
- P(H) : prior probability
- $P(X|H)$ : likelihood
- Assumes that the effect of an attribute value on a given class is independent of the values of the other attributes

# **Bayesian Classification – cont'**

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Classification Components**

$$
P(H|X) = \frac{P(X|H)P(H)}{P(X)}
$$

- X : sample data (class label is unknown)
- $H:$  a hypothesis that X belongs to class C
- $\blacksquare$  P(H|X) : the probability that the hypothesis holds given X
- P(H) : initial probability that any random data belongs to class C
- $\blacksquare$  P(X) : probability that the sample data is observed
- $\blacksquare$  P(X|H) : probability of observing the sample X, given that the hypothesis holds

#### **Classification Process**

- Predicts X belongs to  $C_i$  iff the probability P( $C_i|X$ ) is the highest among all the P( $C_k|X$ ) for all k classes
- Practical difficulty: requires initial knowledge of many probabilities,
	- $\rightarrow$  significant computational cost

# **Naïve Bayesian Classifier**

#### **Computational Efficiency**

**Classification is to derive the maximum posterior probability,**  $P(C_i|X)$ 

$$
P(C_i|X) = \frac{P(X|C_i)P(C_i)}{P(X)}
$$

Suppose  $P(X)$  is constant for all classes, maximize

$$
P(C_i | X) = P(X | C_i) P(C_i)
$$

- **Assumption of Conditional Independence** 
	- Attributes are conditionally independent between attributes

$$
P(X | C_i) = \prod_{k=1}^{n} P(x_k | C_i) = P(x_1 | C_i) \times P(x_2 | C_i) \times ... \times P(x_n | C_i)
$$

- If  $x_k$  is categorical, P( $x_k | C_i$ ) is the number of objects in  $C_i$  having value  $x_k$  divided by the number of objects of  $C_i$
- If  $x_k$  is continous-valued, P( $x_k$ |C<sub>i</sub>) is usually computed based on Gaussian distribution with a mean μ and standard deviation σ

![](_page_24_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Training Data Set**

![](_page_25_Picture_175.jpeg)

# **Example of Classification Results**

#### **Test Data (No Class Label)**

- $X = \{(age <= 30, income = medium, student = ves, credit rating = fair)\}$
- **Hypothesis that X belongs to buys\_computer = "yes"**
	- **P**( $C_i$ ) = P(buys\_computer = "yes") =
	- $\bullet$  P(X|C<sub>i</sub>)
		- P(age = " $\leq$ =30" | buys computer = "yes") =
		- P(income = "medium" | buys computer = "yes") =
		- P(student = "yes" | buys computer = "yes") =
		- P(credit rating = "fair" | buys computer = "yes") =
	- $\blacksquare$  P(C<sub>i</sub> | X) = P(X | C<sub>i</sub>) × P(C<sub>i</sub>)
		- P( buys computer = "yes" |  $X$  ) =

![](_page_26_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Example of Classification Results – cont'**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Hypothesis that X belongs to buys\_computer = "no"**

- **P**( $C_i$ ) = P(buys\_computer = "no") =
- $\bullet$  P(X|C<sub>i</sub>)
	- P(age = " $\leq$ =30" | buys computer = "no") =
	- P(income = "medium" | buys computer = "no") =
	- P(student = "yes" | buys computer = "no") =
	- P(credit rating = "fair" | buys computer = "no") =
- $\blacksquare$  P(C<sub>i</sub> | X) = P(X | C<sub>i</sub>) × P(C<sub>i</sub>)
	- P( buys computer = "no"  $| X$  ) =

# **Summary of Naïve Bayesian Classifier**

#### **Strength**

- **Easy to implement**
- Good results in most of the cases

#### **Weakness**

- Assumption of conditional independence of attributes  $\rightarrow$  Loss of accuracy
- **In practice, dependencies exist between attributes** 
	- $\rightarrow$  Dealing with dependencies: Bayesian belief networks

![](_page_28_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Bayesian Belief Networks**

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

Represents dependency among attributes by training data in Bayesian networks

#### **Bayesian Network**

Directed acyclic graph (DAC)

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

Conditional probability table

![](_page_29_Picture_86.jpeg)

# **Overview**

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. **Decision Tree Induction**
- **2. Bayesian Classification**
- **3. k-Nearest Neighbor Learning**
- **4. Rule-Based Classification**
- **5. Pattern-Based Classification**
- **6. Classification Accuracy Measures**

# **k-Nearest Neighbor Learning (kNN)**

#### **Main Idea**

- Lazy learning (or, instance-based learning)
	- $\rightarrow$  Store the training data and wait until it is given the data for prediction
	- $\rightarrow$  Less time in training but more time in predicting
- All instances (data objects) correspond to points in the n-D space
- The nearest neighbors are found by a distance function
- The distance function can be defined for numerical or categorical values

#### **Learning Process**

- Searches the k closest neighbor instances of the unknown instance
- For categorical values, the unknown instance is assigned the most common class among k neighbors
- For numerical values, the unknown instance is assigned the mean of k neighbors

![](_page_31_Picture_12.jpeg)

**Numerical Attributes**

Minkowski distance,

# • Euclidean distance when p=2, and Manhattan distance, when p=1

#### **Categorical Attributes**

Jaccard coefficient,

$$
d = \frac{|X\Delta Y|}{|X \cup Y|} = 1 - \frac{|X \cap Y|}{|X \cup Y|}
$$

• X∆Y: the symmetric difference between X and Y

#### **Boolean Attributes**

• If symmetric, 
$$
d = \frac{r+s}{q+r+s+t}
$$

**If asymmetric,**  $q + r + s$  $d = \frac{r + s}{r}$  $+r+$  $=\frac{r+$ 

#### contingency table

![](_page_32_Picture_203.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Summary of kNN**

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Strength**

Robust to noisy data by averaging k neighbors

#### **Weakness**

- Consider all attributes equally by a distance function
	- $\rightarrow$  Might be dominated by irrelevant attributes
	- $\rightarrow$  Might need to eliminate irrelevant attributes
- Need pre-determined the k value
	- $\rightarrow$  Small k makes sensitive to noise
	- $\rightarrow$  Large k makes inaccurate
	- $\rightarrow$  Might need to weight each of the k neighbors according to their distance

# **Overview**

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. **Decision Tree Induction**
- **2. Bayesian Classification**
- **3. k-Nearest Neighbor Learning**
- **4. Rule-Based Classification**
- **5. Pattern-Based Classification**
- **6. Classification Accuracy Measures**

# **Rule-Based Classification**

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

 $\cdot$  (Find rules in the form of IF-THEN rules e.g., IF age  $\leq$  30 AND student = yes, THEN buy\_computer = yes e.g., IF student  $\equiv$  yes AND income = low, THEN buy\_computer = no How to find rules ?

#### **Learning Process**

- **Training step: generating a set of rules**
- **Prediction step: classifying a new data by the rules applied**

#### **Issue**

- If more than one rule are triggered, need conflict resolution
	- Attribute size ordering: decreasing order of the number of attributes in the rules
	- Rule-based ordering: decreasing order of rule quality

# **Rule Extraction from Decision Tree**

#### **Main Idea**

- Each rule can be created by each path from the root to a leaf
- **Each attribute-value pair along a path** forms a conjunction with "AND"
- Rules are mutually exclusive

![](_page_36_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### **Examples**

- IF age = young AND student = yes, THEN buys computer = yes
- IF age = young AND student = no, THEN buys computer = no
- $\blacksquare$  IF age = mid-age, THEN buys computer = yes
- IF age = old AND credit rating = excellent, THEN buys computer = yes
- IF age = old AND credit rating = fair, THEN buys computer = no

![](_page_36_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Rule Extraction by Sequential Covering**

#### **Main Idea**

**Each rule is learned sequentially** 

#### **Sequential Covering Algorithm**

- 1) Learn a rule, and remove the data covered by the rule
- 2) Repeat (1) until reaching a termination condition
- 3) Repeat (1) and (2) for each class

#### **Rule Learning**

- Starts with the most general rule possible, and grows the rule in a general-to-specific manner
- Adds new attributes into the rule by selecting the one that most improves the rule quality

#### **Termination Condition**

- There are no more training data
- If does not reach the rule quality threshold

![](_page_37_Picture_13.jpeg)

# **Rule Quality Measures**

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Coverage & Accuracy**

- $n_{\text{covers}} =$  the number of data objects covered by the rule R
- $n_{\text{correct}}$  = the number of data objects correctly classified by R
- coverage(R) =  $n_{\text{covers}}/|D|$  where D is the training data set
- **accuracy(R) =**  $n_{correct}/n_{covers}$

#### **FOIL Gain**

- **FOIL (First Order Inductive Learning)**
- Similar to information gain
- pos = the number of positive data objects covered by the rule R
- pos' = the number of positive data objects covered by the new rule  $R'$

• 
$$
FOIL\_Gain = pos' \times \left( \log_2 \frac{pos'}{pos' + neg'} - \log_2 \frac{pos}{pos + neg} \right)
$$

# **Overview**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. **Decision Tree Induction**
- **2. Bayesian Classification**
- **3. k-Nearest Neighbor Learning**
- **4. Rule-Based Classification**
- **5. Pattern-Based Classification**
- **6. Classification Accuracy Measures**

# **Pattern-Based Classification**

![](_page_40_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

- Frequent patterns and their corresponding association rules are generated and analyzed for classification
- Also called associative classification
- Search for strong associations between frequent patterns and class labels
- Each pattern is represented as conjunctions of attribute-value pairs based on its support and confidence

#### **Methods**

- CBA (Classification by Association)
- CMAR (Classification based on Multiple Association Rules)
- CPAR (Classification based on Predictive Association Rules)

# **CBA (Classification By Association)**

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### **Main Idea**

Mining all possible association rules by their support and confidence in the form of

" $p_1 \wedge p_2 ... \wedge p_n$ "  $\rightarrow$  "A<sub>class</sub> = C", called Class Association Rule (CAR)

- **Difference between Association Rule Mining and CBA** 
	- Association Rule Mining: target is not predetermined
	- CBA: only one predetermined target
- Building a classifier with the rules according to decreasing precedence of their confidence

#### **Classification Rules**

- 1) Find all covered CARs from the training data
- 2) Classify the test data with the highest confidence CAR
- 3) If some CARs tie, use the highest support CAR, and then the majority class

#### **References**

 Liu, B., Hsu, W. and Ma, Y., "Integrating classification and association rule mining", In Proceedings of KDD (1998)

# **Overview**

![](_page_42_Picture_1.jpeg)

- 1. **Decision Tree Induction**
- **2. Bayesian Classification**
- **3. k-Nearest Neighbor Learning**
- **4. Rule-Based Classification**
- **5. Pattern-Based Classification**
- **6. Classification Accuracy Measures**

# **Evaluation of Classification Methods**

#### **Holdout Method**

Randomly partitions the given data into a training set and a test set

#### **Random Sampling**

- Repeats the holdout method k times
- Estimates the overall accuracy by averaging the accuracy from each round

#### **k-Fold Cross-Validation**

- Randomly partitions the given data into k mutually exclusive subsets, each approximately equal size
- Measures accuracy k times using the i-th subset as a test set and the others as a training set

#### **Leave-One-Out Cross-Validation**

- $\blacksquare$  k-fold cross-validation where k is the total size of data set
- One sample is left out as a test set for each round

![](_page_43_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Classification Accuracy Measures**

#### **Accuracy Measures**

**Confusion matrix** 

#### **Predicted class**

![](_page_44_Picture_94.jpeg)

- Sensitivity (true positive rate, recall) =
- **Specificity (true negative rate) =**
- Positive predictive value (precision) =
- Negative predictive value =
- Accuracy = sensitivity  $\times$  (tp+fn)/total + specificity  $\times$  (fp+tn)/total

=

 $\blacksquare$  Error rate =

![](_page_44_Picture_12.jpeg)

# **Classification Accuracy Measures – cont'**

#### **ROC Curve**

- **Receiver Operating Characteristic Curve**
- A graphic plot of true positive rate (sensitivity) vs. false positive rate (1-specificity)
- A tool to show optimality of a classifier
- The closer to the diagonal line, the less accurate the classifier is

#### **AUC**

- **The area under the ROC curve**
- **Represents classification accuracy**

![](_page_45_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_10.jpeg)

# **Questions?**

![](_page_46_Picture_1.jpeg)

Lecture Slides on the Course Website, "https://ads.yonsei.ac.kr/faculty/data\_mining"

![](_page_46_Picture_3.jpeg)